

CHINESE TAIPEI


APEC IPEG FEB 9th-10th, 2020

PUTRAJAYA, MALAYSIA



"Substantive examination" is adopted for design patent applications. On claiming priority of design patent applications, according to the old practice, the examiner would decide whether to "recognize the priority claim or not," based on if they are "identical designs" between the claimed priority and the design patent application


efore



(3) Only after administrative remedy is determined, the IPO would continue to examine the case


(If no relevant prior arts are found, the patent may still be approved)







Therefore, according to the patent examination guidelines, it is not permitted to claim "multiple priorities" or "partial priority"






The following situations are common in practice. In such cases, the examiner would ask the applicant to choose between…



Different categories:




However, these are likely to lead to disputable determination. Also, when the applicant files for administrative remedy, it would only result in an increase in examination pendency and a decrease in efficiency.



After the new measure comes into force on September 12, 2019, it will not be necessary to decide whether to recognize the priority claim or not at beginning





If no prior arts are found between the priority date and the filing date, the examiner will no longer check the priority documents. Also, the examiner will not ask the applicant to choose among the priorities



Thank you for your attention